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Abstract

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Coherent Multidimensional Spectroscopy

CMDS, coherent multidimensional spectroscopy

### 1.2 The CMDS Instrument

From an instrumental perspective, MR-CMDS is a problem of calibration and coordination. Within the Wright Group, each of our two main instruments are composed of roughly ten actively moving component hardwares. Many of these components are purchased directly from vendors such as SpectraPhysics, National Instruments, Horiba, Thorlabs, and Newport. Others are created or heavily modified by graduate students. The Wright Group has always maintained custom acquisition software packages which control the complex, many-stepped dance that these components must perform to acquire MR-CMDS spectra.

### 1.3 Scientific Software

When I joined the Wright Group, I saw that acquisition software was a real barrier to experimental progress and flexibility. Graduate students had ideas for instrumental enhancements that were infeasible because of the challenge of incorporating the new components into the existing software ecosystem. At the same time, students were spending much of their time in lab repeatedly calibrating optical parametric amplifiers by hand, a process that sometimes took days. I chose to spend a significant portion of my graduate career focusing on solving these problems through software development. At first, I focused on improving the existing LabVIEW code. Eventually, I developed a vision for a deeply modular acquisition software that could not be practically created with LabVIEW. Using Python and Qt, I created a brand new acquisition software PyCMDS: built from the ground up to fundamentally solve historical challenges in the Group. PyCMDS offers a modular hardware model that can "re-configure" itself to flexibly control a variety of component hardware configurations. This has enabled graduate students to add and remove hardware whenever necessary, without worrying about a heavy additional programming burden. PyCMDS is now used to drive both MR-CMDS instruments in the Group, allowing for easy sharing of component hardware and lessening the total amount of software that the Group needs to maintain. Besides being more flexible, PyCMDS solves a number of other problems. It offers fully automated strategies for calibrating component hardwares, making calibration less arduous and more reproducible. It offers more fine-grained control of data acquisition and timing, enabling more complex algorithms to quickly acquire artifact-free results. In conjunction with other algorithmic and hardware improvements that I have made, PyCMDS has decreased acquisition times by up to two orders of magnitude. A companion software, WrightTools (which I also created), solves some of the processing and representation challenges of multidimensional data.

## Part I

## Background

## Chapter 2

## Spectroscopy

A hundred years ago, Auguste Comte, ... a great philosopher, said that humans will never be able to visit the stars, that we will never know what stars are made out of, that that's the one thing that science will never ever understand, because they're so far away. And then, just a few years later, scientists took starlight, ran it through a prism, looked at the rainbow coming from the starlight, and said: "Hydrogen!" Just a few years after this very rational, very reasonable, very scientific prediction was made, that we'll never know what stars are made of.

- Michio Kaku

In this chapter I lay out the foundations of spectroscopy.

### 2.1 Light

### 2.2 Light-Matter Interaction

Spectroscopic experiments all derive from the interaction of light and matter. Many material properties can be deduced by measuring the nature of this interaction.

Nonlinear spectroscopy relies upon higher-order terms in the light-matter interaction. In a generic system, each term is roughly ten times smaller than the last.

### 2.2.1 Representations

Many strategies have been introduced for diagrammatically representing the interaction of multiple electric fields in an experiment.

## Circle Diagrams

## Double-sided Feynman Diagrams

## WMEL Diagrams

So-called wave mixing energy level (WMEL) diagrams are the most familiar way of representing spectroscopy for Wright group members. WMEL diagrams were first proposed by Lee and Albrecht in an appendix to their seminal work A Unified View of Raman, Resonance Raman, and Fluorescence Spectroscopy [LeeDuckhwan1985a]. WMEL diagrams are drawn using the following rules.

1. The energy ladder is represented with horizontal lines - solid for real states and dashed for virtual states.
2. Individual electric field interactions are represented as vertical arrows. The arrows span the distance between the initial and final state in the energy ladder.
3. The time ordering of the interactions is represented by the ordering of arrows, from left to right.
4. Ket-side interactions are represented with solid arrows.
5. Bra-side interactions are represented with dashed arrows.
6. Output is represented as a solid wavy line.

## Mukamel Diagrams

### 2.3 Linear Spectroscopy

### 2.3.1 Reflectivity

This derivation adapted from Optical Processes in Semiconductors by Jacques I. Pankove [PankoveJacques1975a].
For normal incidence, the reflection coefficient is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{(n-1)^{2}+k^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}+k^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further derivation adapted from [KumarNardeep2013a]. To extend reflectivity to a differential measurement

### 2.4 Coherent Multidimensional Spectroscopy

### 2.4.1 Three Wave

### 2.4.2 Four Wave

Fluorescence

Raman

### 2.4.3 Five Wave

### 2.4.4 Six Wave

multiple population-period transient spectroscopy (MUPPETS)

### 2.5 Strategies for CMDS

### 2.5.1 Homodyne vs. Heterodyne Detection

Two kinds of spectroscopies: 1) heterodyne 2) homodyne. Heterodyne techniques may be self heterodyne or explicitly heterodyned with a local oscillator.

In all heterodyne spectroscopies, signal goes as $N$. In all homodyne spectroscopies, signal goes as $N^{2}$. This literally means that homodyne signals go as the square of heterodyne signals, which is what we mean when we say that homodyne signals are intensity level and heterodyne signals are amplitude level.

Transient absorption, TA

### 2.5.2 Frequency vs. Time Domain

Time domain techniques become more and more difficult when large frequency bandwidths are needed. With very short, broad pulses:

- Non-resonant signal becomes brighter relative to resonant signal
- Pulse distortions become important.

This epi-CARS paper might have some useful discussion of non-resonant vs resonant for shorter and shorter pulses [ChengJixin2001a].

An excellent discussion of pulse distortion phenomena in broadband time-domain experiments was published by SpencerAustinP2015a.

Another idea in defense of frequency domain is for the case of power studies. Since time-domain pulses in-fact possess all colors in them they cannot be trusted as much at perturbative fluence. See that paper that Natalia presented...

### 2.5.3 Triply Electronically Enhanced Spectroscopy

Triply Electronically Enhanced (TrEE) spectroscopy has become the workhorse homodyne-detected 4WM experiment in the Wright Group.

### 2.5.4 Transient Absorbance Spectroscopy

Transient absorption (TA)

## Quantitative TA

Transient absorbance (TA) spectroscopy is a self-heterodyned technique. Through chopping you can measure nonlinearities quantitatively much easier than with homodyne detected (or explicitly heterodyned) experiments.


Figure 2.1: CAPTION TODO

Figure 2.1 diagrams the TA measurement for a generic sample. Here I show measurement of both the reflected and transmitted probe beam ...not important in opaque (pyrite) or non-reflective (quantum dot) samples ...

Typically one attempts to calculate the change in absorbance $\Delta A \ldots$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta A & =A_{\text {on }}-A_{\text {off }}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =-\log _{10}\left(\frac{I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{T}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{R}}}{I_{0}}\right)+\log \left(\frac{I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}}{I_{0}}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
& =-\left(\log _{10}\left(I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{T}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{R}}\right)-\log _{10}\left(I_{0}\right)\right)+\left(\log _{10}\left(I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}\right)-\log _{10}\left(I_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =-\left(\log _{10}\left(I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{T}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{R}}\right)-\log _{10}\left(I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =-\log _{10}\left(\frac{I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{T}}+I_{\Delta \mathrm{R}}}{I_{\mathrm{T}}+I_{\mathrm{R}}}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation 2.6 simplifies beautifully if reflectivity is negligible ...

Now I define a variable for each experimental measurable:

| $V_{T}$ | voltage recorded from transmitted beam, without pump |
| :---: | :--- |
| $V_{R}$ | voltage recorded from reflected beam, without pump |
| $V_{\Delta T}$ | change in voltage recorded from transmitted beam due to pump |
| $V_{\Delta R}$ | change in voltage recorded from reflected beam due to pump |

We will need to calibrate using a sample with a known transmisivity and reflectivity constant:

$$
\begin{array}{c|l}
V_{\mathrm{T}, \text { ref }} & \text { voltage recorded from transmitted beam, without pump } \\
V_{\mathrm{R}, \text { ref }} & \text { voltage recorded from reflected beam, without pump } \\
\mathcal{T}_{\text {ref }} & \text { transmissivity } \\
\mathcal{R}_{\text {ref }} & \text { reflectivity }
\end{array}
$$

Define two new proportionality constants...

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\mathrm{T}} & \equiv \frac{\mathcal{T}}{V_{\mathrm{T}}}  \tag{2.7}\\
C_{\mathrm{R}} & \equiv \frac{\mathcal{R}}{V_{\mathrm{R}}} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

These are explicitly calibrated (as a function of probe color) prior to the experiment using the calibration sample.

Given the eight experimental measurables $\left(V_{T}, V_{R}, V_{\Delta T}, V_{\Delta R}, V_{T, \text { ref }}, V_{R, \text { ref }}, \mathcal{T}_{\text {ref }}, \mathcal{R}_{\text {ref }}\right) I$ can express all of the intensities in Equation 2.6 in terms of $I_{0}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\mathrm{T}} & =\frac{\mathcal{T}_{\text {ref }}}{V_{\mathrm{T}, \text { ref }}}  \tag{2.9}\\
C_{\mathrm{R}} & =\frac{\mathcal{R}_{\text {ref }}}{V_{\mathrm{R}, \text { ref }}}  \tag{2.10}\\
I_{\mathrm{T}} & =I_{0} C_{\mathrm{T}} V_{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{2.11}\\
I_{\mathrm{R}} & =I_{0} C_{\mathrm{R}} V_{\mathrm{R}}  \tag{2.12}\\
I_{\Delta \mathrm{T}} & =I_{0} C_{\mathrm{T}} V_{\Delta T}  \tag{2.13}\\
I_{\Delta \mathrm{R}} & =I_{0} C_{\mathrm{R}} V_{\Delta \mathrm{R}} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Wonderfully, the $I_{0}$ cancels when plugged back in to Equation 2.6, leaving a final expression for $\Delta A$ that only depends on my eight measurables.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta A=-\log _{10}\left(\frac{C_{\mathrm{T}}\left(V_{\mathrm{T}}+V_{\Delta \mathrm{T}}\right)+C_{\mathrm{R}}\left(V_{\mathrm{R}}+V_{\Delta \mathrm{R}}\right)}{C_{\mathrm{T}} V_{\mathrm{T}}+C_{\mathrm{R}} V_{\mathrm{R}}}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.5.5 Cross Polarized TrEE

### 2.5.6 Pump-TrEE-Probe

Pump TrEE probe (PTP).

### 2.6 Instrumental Response Function

The instrumental response function (IRF) is a classic concept in analytical science. Defining IRF becomes complex with instruments as complex as these, but it is still useful to attempt.

It is particularly useful to define bandwidth.

### 2.6.1 Time Domain

I will use four wave mixing to extract the time-domain pulse-width. I use a driven signal e.g. near infrared carbon tetrachloride response. I'll homodyne-detect the output. In my experiment I'm moving pulse 1 against pulses 2 and 3 (which are coincident).

The driven polarization, $P$, goes as the product of my input pulse intensities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(T)=I_{1}(t-T) \times I_{2}(t) \times I_{3}(t) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our experiment we are convolving $I_{1}$ with $I_{2} \times I_{3}$. Each pulse has an intensity-level width, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\sigma_{3} . I_{2} \times I_{3}$ is itself a Gaussian, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{l_{2} l_{3}} & =\cdots  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}+\sigma_{3}^{2}}} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The width of the polarization (across $T$ ) is therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{P} & =\sqrt{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{l_{2} / 3}^{2}}  \tag{2.19}\\
& =\cdots  \tag{2.20}\\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2} \sigma_{3}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

I assume that all of the pulses have the same width. $I_{1}, I_{2}$, and $I_{3}$ are identical Gaussian functions with FWHM $\sigma$. In this case, Equation 2.21 simplifies to

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{P} & =\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}+\sigma^{2} \sigma^{2}}{\sigma^{2}+\sigma^{2}}}  \tag{2.22}\\
& =\cdots  \tag{2.23}\\
& =\sigma \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, since we measure $\sigma_{P}$ and wish to extract $\sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sigma_{P} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, all of these widths are on the intensity level.

### 2.6.2 Frequency Domain

We can directly measure $\sigma$ (the width on the intensity-level) in the frequency domain using a spectrometer. A tune test contains this information.

### 2.6.3 Time-Bandwidth Product

For a Gaussian, approximately 0.441

## Chapter 3

## Materials

"Kroemer's Lemma of Proven Ignorance": If, in discussing a semiconductor problem, you cannot draw an Energy Band Diagram, this shows that you don't know what you are talking about, If you can draw one, but don't, then your audience won't know what you are talking about.

## Chapter 4

## Software

The following guidelines are to be used in the documentation of all software developed in the Wright group for the IBM 9000 computer. These rules have arisen as a necessary consequence of the group's programming philosophy of writing software in the form of units which can be readily shared among a number of programmers. The approach outlined here should help to avoid some of the confusion otherwise produced by several persons simultaniously developing and modifying shared software.

- Roger Carlson, "Software Development Guidelines" (1988) [CarlsonRogerJ1988a]

Cutting-edge science increasingly relies on custom software. In their 2008 survey, Hannay et al. [2] demonstrated just how important software is to the modern scientist.
$\rightarrow 84.3 \%$ of surveyed scientists state that developing scientific software is important or very important for their own research.
$\rightarrow 91.2 \%$ of surveyed scientists state that using scientific software is important or very important for their own research.
$\rightarrow$ On average, scientists spend approximately $40 \%$ of their work time using scientific software.
$\rightarrow$ On average, scientists spend approximately $30 \%$ of their work time developing scientific software.

Despite the importance of software to science and scientists, most scientists are not familiar with basic software engineering concepts. This is in part due to the their general lack of formal training in programming and software development. Hannay et al. [2] found that over $90 \%$ of scientists learn software development through 'informal self study'. Indeed, I myself have never been formally trained in software development.

Software development in a scientific context poses unique challenges. Many traditional software development paradigms demand an upfront articulation of goals and requirements. This allows the developers to carefully design their software, even before a single line of code is written. In her seminal 2005 case study Segal [3] describes a collaboration between a team of researchers and a contracted team of software engineers. Ultimately

## Part II

## Development

Chapter 5

## Processing

From a data science perspective, CMDS has several unique challenges:
$\rightarrow$ Dimensionality of datasets can typically be greater than two, complicating representation.
$\rightarrow$ Shape and dimensionality change...
$\rightarrow$ Data can be large (over one million points).

I have designed a software package that directly addresses these issues.

WrightTools is a software package at the heart of all work in the Wright Group.

WrightTools is written in Python, and endeavors to have a "pythonic", explicit and "natural" application programming interface (API). To use WrightTools, simply import:

```
>>> import WrightTools as wt
>>> wt.__version__
3.0.0
```

I'll discuss more about how exactly WrightTools packaging, distribution, and instillation works in ??.

We can use the builtin Python function dir to interrogate the contents of the WrightTools package.

```
>>> dir(wt)
['Collection',
    'Data',
    '__branch__',
    '__builtins__',
    '__cached__',
    '__doc__',
    '__file__',
    '__loader__',
    '__name__',
    '__package__',
    ' -_path__',
    '__spec__',
    '__version__',
    '__wt5_version__ ',
    '_dataset',
    '_group',
    '_open',
    '_sys',
    'artists',
    'collection',
    'data',
    'diagrams',
    'exceptions',
    'kit',
    'open',
    'units']
```

Many of these are dunder (double underscore) attributes-Python internals that are not normally used directly. The ten attributes that do not start with underscore are the public API that users of WrightTools typically use. Within the public API are two classes, Collection \& Data, which are the two main classes in the WrightTools object model. Data stores spectra directly as multidimensional arrays, and Collection stores groups of data objects (and other collection objects) in a hierarchical way for internal organization purposes.

### 5.1 Data object model

WrightTools uses a programming strategy called object oriented programming (OOP).

It contains a central data "container" that is capable of storing all of the information about each multidimensional (or one-dimensional) spectra: the Data class. It also defines a Collection class
that contains data objects, collection objects, and other pieces of metadata in a hierarchical structure. Let's first discuss pythonData.

All spectra are stored within WrightTools as multidimensional arrays. Arrays are containers that store many instances of the same data type, typically numerical datatypes. These arrays have some shape, size, and dtype. In the context of WrightTools, they can contain floats, integers, complex numbers and NaNs .

The Data class contains everything that is needed to define a single spectra from a single experiment (or simulation). To do this, each data object contains several multidimensional arrays (typically 2 to 50 arrays, depending on the kind of data). There are two kinds of arrays, instances of Variable and Channel. Variables are coordinate arrays that define the position of each pixel in the multidimensional spectrum, and channels are each a particular kind of signal within that spectrum. Typical variables might be [w1, w2, w3, d1, d2], and typical channels [pmt, pyro1, pyro2, pyro3].

As an overview, the following lexicographically lists the attributes and methods of Data.
$\rightarrow$ method collapse: Collapse along one dimension in a well-defined way.
$\rightarrow$ method convert: Convert all axes of a certain kind.
$\rightarrow$ method create_channel: Create a new channel.
$\rightarrow$ method create_variable: Create a new variable.
$\rightarrow$ method fullpath
$\rightarrow$ method get_nadir
$\rightarrow$ method get_zenith
$\rightarrow$ method heal
$\rightarrow$ attribute kind
$\rightarrow$ method level
$\rightarrow$ method map_variable
$\rightarrow$ attribute natural_name
$\rightarrow$ attribute ndim
$\rightarrow$ method offset
$\rightarrow$ method print_tree
$\rightarrow$ method remove_channel
$\rightarrow$ method remove_variable
$\rightarrow$ method rename_channels
$\rightarrow$ method rename_variables
$\rightarrow$ attribute shape
$\rightarrow$ method share_nans
$\rightarrow$ attribute size

```
method smooth
-> attribute source
m method split
method transform
attribute units
-> attribute variable_names
-> attribute variables
method zoom
```

Each data object contains instances of Channel and Variable which represent the principle multidimensional arrays. The following lexicographically lists the attributes of these instances. Certain methods and attributes are unique to only one type of dataset, and are marked as such.

```
method argmax
method argmin
method chunkwise
method clip
method convert
attribute full
attribute fullpath
-> attribute label (variable only)
method log
method log10
\rightarrow \text { method log2}
method mag
attribute major_extent (channel only)
method max
method min
attribute minor_extent (channel only)
attribute natural_name
method normalize (channel only)
attribute null (channel only)
attribute parent
attribute points
-> attribute signed (channel only)
method slices
method symmetric_root
method trim (channel only)
```

Channels and variables also support direct indexing / slicing using __getitem__, as discussed more in...

Axes are ways to organize data as functional of particular variables (and combinations thereof). The Axis class does not directly contain the respective arrays-it refers to the associated variables. The flexibility of this association is one of the main new features in WrightTools 3. Axis expressions are simple human-friendly strings made up of numbers and variable natural_names. Given 5 variables with names ['w1', 'w2', 'wm', 'd1', 'd2'], example valid expressions include 'w1', 'w1=wm', 'w1+w2', '2*w1', 'd1-d2', and 'wm-w1+w2'. Axes can be directly indexed / sliced into using __getitem__, and they support many of the "numpy-like" attributes. A lexicographical list of axis attributes and methods follows.

```
-> attribute full
attribute label
-> attribute natural_name
-> attribute ndim
-> attribute points
attribute shape
-> attribute size
-> attribute units_kind
-> attribute variables
method convert
method min
method max
```


### 5.1.1 Creating a data object

WrightTools data objects are capable of storing arbitrary multidimensional spectra, but how can we actually get data into WrightTools? If you start with a wt5 file, the answer is easy: wt . open(<filepath>). But what if you have data that was written using some other software? WrightTools offers data conversion functions ("from" functions) that do the hard work of creating data objects from other files. These from-functions are as parameter free as possible, which means they recognize details like shape and units from each specific file format without manual user intervention.

The most important thing about from-functions is that they are extensible: that is, that more fromfunctions can be easily added as needed. This modular approach to data creation means that individuals who want to use WrightTools for new data sources can simply add one function to unlock the capabilities of the entire package as applied to their data.

Following are the current from-functions, and the types of data that they support.
$\rightarrow$ Cary (collection creation)
$\rightarrow$ COLORS
$\rightarrow$ KENT
$\rightarrow$ PyCMDS
$\rightarrow$ Ocean Optics
$\rightarrow$ Shimadzu
$\rightarrow$ Tensor27

## Discover dimensions

Certain older Wright Group file types (COLORS and KENT) are particularly difficult to import using a parameter-free from-function. There are two problems:
$\rightarrow$ Dimensionality limitation to individual files (1D for KENT, 2D for COLORS).
$\rightarrow$ Lack of self-describing metadata.

The way that WrightTools handles data creation for these file-types deserves special discussion.

Firstly, WrightTools contains hardcoded column information for each filetype... For COLORS...

Secondly, WrightTools accepts a list of files which it stacks together to form a single large array.

Finally, the wt.kit.discover_dimensions function is called. This function does its best to recognize the parameters of the original scan...

## From directory

### 5.1.2 Math

Now that we know the basics of how the WrightTools Data class stores data, it's time to do some data manipulation. Let's start with some elementary algebra.

## In place operators

Operators are... Because the Data object is mostly stored outside of memory, it is better to do in-place...

Broadcasting...

## Clip

## Symmetric root

## Log

### 5.1.3 Dimensionality manipulation

WrightTools offers several strategies for reducing the dimensionality of a data object. Also consider using the fit sub-package.

## Chop

Chop is one of the most important methods of data, although it is typically not called directly by users of WrightTools.

## Collapse

## Split

Join

### 5.1.4 The wt5 file format

Since WrightTools is based on the hdf5 file format...

### 5.2 Artists

After importing and manipulating data, one typically wants to create a plot. The artists sub-package contains everything users need to plot their data objects. This includes both "quick" artists, which generate simple plots as quickly as possible, and a full figure layout toolkit that allows users to generate full publication quality figures. It also includes "specialty" artists which are made to perform certain popular plotting operations, as I will describe below.

Currently the artists sub-package is built on-top of the wonderful matplotlib library. In the future, other libraries (e.g. mayavi), may be incorporated.

### 5.2.1 Quick

1D


```
import os
import WrightTools as wt
from WrightTools import datasets
here = os.path.abspath(os.path.dirname(__file__))
ps = datasets.KENT.LDS821_TRSF
data = wt.data.from_KENT(ps, ignore=['d1', 'd2', 'wm'], verbose=False)
wt.artists.quick1D(data, 'w1', at={'w2': [1520, 'wn']}, autosave=True,
    save_directory=here, fname='quick1D')
```

Figure 5.1: CAPTION TODO


```
import os
import WrightTools as wt
from WrightTools import datasets
here = os.path.abspath(os.path.dirname(__file__))
ps = datasets.KENT.LDS821_TRSF
data = wt.data.from_KENT(ps, ignore=['d1', 'd2', 'wm'], verbose=False)
wt.artists.apply_rcparams('publication')
wt.artists.quick2D(data, autosave=True, save_directory=here, fname='quick2D')
```

Figure 5.2: CAPTION TODO

### 5.2.2 Specialty

### 5.2.3 Artists API

The artists sub-package offers a thin wrapper on the default matplotlib object-oriented figure creation API. The wrapper allows WrightTools to add the following capabilities on top of matplotlib:
$\rightarrow$ More consistent multi-axes figure layout.
$\rightarrow$ Ability to plot data objects directly.

Each of these is meant to lower the barrier to plotting data. Without going into every detail of matplotlib figure generation capabilities, this section introduces the unique strategy that the WrightTools wrapper takes.

### 5.2.4 Colormaps

### 5.2.5 Interpolation

### 5.3 Fitting

### 5.4 Distribution and licensing

WrightTools is MIT licensed.

WrightTools is distributed on PyPI and conda-forge.

### 5.5 Future directions

Chapter 6

## Acquistion

In the Wright Group, PyCMDS replaces the old acquisition softwares 'ps control', written by Kent Meyer and 'Control for Lots of Research in Spectroscopy' written by Schuyler Kain.

PyCMDS directly addresses the hardware during experiments.

### 6.1 Overview

PyCMDS has, through software improvements alone, dramatically lessened scan times...
$\rightarrow$ simultaneous motor motion
$\rightarrow$ digital signal processing
$\rightarrow$ ideal axis positions 6.2.1

### 6.2 Future directions

### 6.2.1 Ideal Axis Positions

Frequency domain multidimensional spectroscopy is a time-intensive process. A typical pixel takes between one-half second and three seconds to acquire. Depending on the exact hardware being scanned and signal being detected, this time may be mostly due to hardware motion or signal collection. Due to the curse of dimensionality, a typical three-dimensional CMDS experiment contains roughly 100,000 pixels. CMDS hardware is transiently-reliable, so speeding up experiments is a crucial component of unlocking ever larger dimensionalities and higher resolutions.

One obvious way to decrease the scan-time is to take fewer pixels. Traditionally, multidimensional scans are done with linearly arranged points in each axis-this is the simplest configuration to program into the acquisition software. Because signal features are often sparse or slowly varying (especially so in high-dimensional scans) linear stepping means that most of the collected pixels are duplicates or simply noise. A more intelligent choice of axis points can capture the same nonlinear spectrum in a fraction of the total pixel count.

An ideal distribution of pixels is linearized in signal, not coordinate. This means that every signal level (think of a contour in the N -dimensional case) has roughly the same number of pixels defining it. If some generic multidimensional signal goes between 0 and 1 , one would want roughly $10 \%$ of the pixels to be between 0.9 and $1.0,10 \%$ between 0.8 and 0.9 and so on. If the signal is sparse in the space explored (imagine a narrow two-dimensional Lorentzian in the center of a large 2D-Frequency scan) this would place the majority of the pixels near the narrow peak feature(s), with only a few of them defining the large (in axis space) low-signal floor. In contrast linear stepping would allocate the vast majority of the pixels in the low-signal 0.0 to 0.1 region, with only a few being used to capture the narrow peak feature. Of course, linearizing pixels in signal requires prior expectations about the shape of the multidimensional signal—linear stepping is still an appropriate choice for low-resolution "survey" scans.

CMDS scans often posses correlated features in the multidimensional space. In order to capture such features as cheaply as possible, one would want to define regions of increased pixel density along the correlated (diagonal) lineshape. As a concession to reasonable simplicity, our acquisition software (PyCMDS) assumes that all scans constitute a regular array with-respect-to the scanned axes. We can acquire arbitrary points along each axis, but not for the multidimensional scan. This means that we cannot achieve strictly ideal pixel distributions for arbitrary datasets. Still, we can do much better than linear spacing.

Almost all CMDS lineshapes (in frequency and delay) can be described using just a few lineshape functions:
$\rightarrow$ exponential
$\rightarrow$ Gaussian
$\rightarrow$ Lorentzian
$\rightarrow$ bimolecular

Exponential and bimolecular dynamics fall out of simple first and second-order kinetics (I will ignore higher-order kinetics here). Gaussians come from our Gaussian pulse envelopes or from normallydistributed inhomogeneous broadening. The measured line-shapes are actually convolutions of the above. I will ignore the convolution except for a few illustrative special cases. More exotic lineshapes are possible in CMDS—quantum beating and breathing modes, for example-I will also ignore these.

Derivations of the ideal pixel positions for each of these lineshapes appear below.

### 6.2.2 Exponential

Simple exponential decays are typically used to describe population and coherence-level dynamics in CMDS. For some generic exponential signal $S$ with time constant $\tau$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write the conjugate equation to 6.1 , asking "what $t$ do I need to get a cerain signal level?":

$$
\begin{align*}
\log (S) & =-\frac{t}{\tau}  \tag{6.2}\\
t & =-(S) . \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

So to step linearly in $t$, my step size has to go as $-\tau \log (S)$.

We want to go linearly in signal, meaning that we want to divide $S$ into even sections. If $S$ goes from 0 to 1 and we choose to acquire $N$ points,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=-\tau \log \left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $t_{n}$ starts at long times and approaches zero delay. So the first $t_{1}$ is the smallest signal and $t_{N}$ is the largest.

Now we can start to consider realistic cases, like where $\tau$ is not quite known and where some other longer dynamics persist (manifested as a static offset). Since these values are not separable in a general
system, l'll keep $S$ normalized between 0 and 1 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=(1-c) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t}{\tau_{\text {actual }}}+c}  \tag{6.5}\\
& S_{n}=(1-c) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{-\tau_{\text {step }} \log \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)}{\tau_{\text {actual }}}}+c  \tag{6.6}\\
& S_{n}=(1-c) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\tau_{\text {step }}}{\tau_{\text {catual }} \log \left(\frac{N}{n}\right)}+c}  \tag{6.7}\\
& S_{n}=(1-c)\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^{-\frac{\tau_{\text {step }}}{\tau_{\text {actual }}}}+c  \tag{6.8}\\
& S_{n}=(1-c)\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{\frac{\tau_{\text {step }}}{\tau_{\text {catual }}}+c} \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$
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